NAKANO et al.

Serial No.: 09/773,550 Docket No.: 107156-00051

REMARKS

The outstanding Action dated January 3, 2003 has been received and carefully noted. The following remarks are submitted as a full and complete response thereto.

Claims 1-6 are pending in this application. By the Amendment, claims 1, 3, 4 and 6 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer, claims 2 and 5 have been amended to change dependency thereof, and new claims 7-10 have been added to place the application in better condition for examination. New claims 7-10 are supported by e.g., Figs. 2A - 2C, and recite, *inter alia*, that shops and destination capable of providing a service are simultaneously indicated on a map image displayed on an information terminal, and a selection of shop is performed on the map image. No new matter has been introduced.

Accordingly, claims 2, 5 and 7-10 are now submitted for consideration.

Section 103 Rejections

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Faber</u>, et al., (Publication No. 2002/0010608, "Faber") in view of <u>Cupps</u>, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,991,739, hereinafter "Cupps").

This rejection is now moot in view of the cancellation to claim 1 and the dependency revision to claim 2.

NAKANO et al.

Serial No.: 09/773,550 Docket No.: 107156-00051

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Faber* in view of *Cupps* and *Dunworth* et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,930,474, "*Dunworth*").

This rejection is now moot in view of the cancellation to claim 3.

Claims 4-5 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Faber* in view of *Cupps* and <u>Blinn et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,484,150, "*Blinn*").

This rejection is now moot in view of the cancellation to claim 4 and the dependency revision to claim 5.

Claim 6 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Faber*, *Cupps*, *Dunworth* and *Blinn*.

This rejection is now moot in view of the cancellation to claim 6.

* * * *

In view of the above remarks, the Applicants respectfully submit that each of Claims 2, 5 and 7-10 recites subject matter which is neither disclosed nor suggested in the cited prior art. Applicants therefore request that all claims under consideration be found allowable, and this application passed to issue.

If for any reason the Examiner determines that the application is not now in condition for allowance, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner contact, by

NAKANO et al.

Serial No.: 09/773,550 Docket No.: 107156-00051

telephone, the Applicants' undersigned attorney at the indicated telephone number to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this application.

In the event this paper is not timely filed, the Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. Any fees for such an extension together with any additional fees may be charged to Counsel's Deposit Account No. 01-2300.

Respectfully submitted,

Raymond J. Ho

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 41,838

Customer No.: 004372

ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC

1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.

Suite 400

Washington, D.C. 20036-5339

Tel: (202) 857-6000 Fax: (202) 638-4810

RJH:elz 175689_1.DOC